

- IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION**

including Plaintiff's treating orthopedist's records, primary care records, and implant and explant records;

3. Plaintiff has provided Defendants with a written description of the steps that Plaintiff has taken to obtain medical and pharmacy records that he or she was unable to provide in accordance with Paragraph 2;

4. Plaintiff has provided Defendants with a completed Fact Sheet, including HIPAA-compliant medical authorizations for the release of records from all of Plaintiff's healthcare providers, surgeons, facilities and pharmacies (whether or not Plaintiff has provided such records to Defendants) sufficiently in advance of the deposition to permit Defendants to obtain any records that Plaintiff has not furnished;

5. After Plaintiff has complied with paragraphs (1) through (4) above, Defendants have been afforded an opportunity to take a discovery deposition of Plaintiff which shall take place before a trial preservation deposition. If Defendants determine at the conclusion of the discovery deposition that no additional investigation is required, the trial preservation deposition will begin no sooner than two (2) business days after the completion of the discovery deposition; however, if Plaintiff reveals information likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence during the discovery deposition, the trial preservation deposition shall be conducted as soon as practicable after the completion of Defendants' investigation of same; and

6. The parties have conferred with one another to select mutually agreeable dates for the discovery and preservation depositions.

III. DEPOSITIONS OF NON-PARTY WITNESSES WHO ARE *IN EXTREMIS*

Separate from the general liability discovery which includes party and non-party testimony that can be noticed in the ordinary course of the litigation, with regard to individual

cases that have central non-party testimony of a witness who is *in extremis*, except by leave of the Court for good cause shown, a party will not notice the deposition of a non-party witness to a plaintiff specific claim for purposes of preserving testimony for trial unless the following conditions have been met:

1. The party provides the counsel for the opposing party with a certification or affidavit from the nonparty witness' physician stating that the witness is hospitalized, terminally ill or in hospice care due to his or her medical condition and is unlikely to recover sufficiently to provide testimony at a later date or,

2. If the party is unable obtain the foregoing, it must provide a certification or affidavit from counsel explaining their good faith attempts to obtain the physician's affidavit or certification, the basis for the seeking expedited discovery and the reason that it unlikely that the witness will recover sufficiently to provide testimony at a later date;

3. After the party has complied with paragraphs (1) and (2) above, the opposing party has been afforded an opportunity to take a discovery deposition of the nonparty witness, which shall take place before a trial preservation deposition. If the opposing party determines at the conclusion of the discovery deposition that no additional investigation is required, the trial preservation deposition will begin no sooner than two (2) business days after the completion of the discovery deposition unless the parties agree for it to occur sooner; however, if the nonparty witness reveals information likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence during the discovery deposition, the trial preservation deposition shall be conducted as soon as practicable after the completion of the opposing party's investigation of same; and

4. The parties have conferred to select mutually agreeable dates for the deposition.

5. In the event the witness becomes too ill or expires after the discovery deposition

but before the opposing party chooses to conduct the trial preservation deposition, the admissibility of the discovery deposition shall be determined by the court in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and case law.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

July 29, 2011

DATE

s/ David A. Katz

DAVID A. KATZ, United States District Judge